UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/721,009	10/721,009 11/24/2003 Mahesh Rajagopalan		03-1014	5652	
25537 VERIZON	7590 01/15/2010		EXAMINER		
PATENT MAN	NAGEMENT GROUP		GAY, SONIA L		
1320 North Cou 9th Floor	irt House Koad	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ARLINGTON,	VA 22201-2909	2614			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/15/2010	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@verizon.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/721,009	RAJAGOPALAN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
SONIA GAY	2614		

	SONIA GAY	2614	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>06 January 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (l	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extremely an extra time of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of the corresponding a	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	iance with 37 CEP 41 37 must be t	filed within two month	e of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below).	sideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
(c) They have the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	**	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	* **	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		. ,	,
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allenon-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 30,60,63,68 and 69. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-29, 31-59, 61-62, 67. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Ahmad F Matar/	/Sonia Gay/		
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2614	Examiner, Art Unit 2614		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant added the limitations "determining a most recently used device by a first conference user to share first data interactively during a previous computer-enhanced conference call" and "establishing a collaboration to share second data interactively among the initatiating user and a subset of the conference users that are authorized to participate in the collaboration, the second data being shared interactively during the conference call" to claims 1, 31, and 61. The addition of this new limitation, specifically "determining a most recently used device by a first conference user to share first data interactively during a previous computer-enhanced conference call" requires further consideration and a new search. Applicant added the limitations "storing data identifying a first device and a second device associated with a first conference user, the data reflecting that the first device is preferred by the first conference user for sharing data interactively in computer-enhanced conference calls during a first time period and the second device is preferred for sharing data interactively in computer-enhanced conference calls and bridging calls to devices of the initiating user and the conference users based on the at least one received response to establish a conference call between the initiating user and the conference users, wherein at least one of the calls is forwarded to the first user at the first device based on the determining that the computer-enhanced conference call falls within the first time period" to claims 17, 47, 62, and 67. The addition of the new limitation, specifically "the data reflecting that the first device is preferred by the first conference user for sharing data interactively in computer-enhanced conference calls during a first time period and the second device is preferred for sharing data interactively in computer-enhanced conference calls during a first time period and the second device is preferred for sharing data interactively in computer-enhanced c

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are based on the proposed amendments for claims 1,17,31, 47, 61-62, and 67 discussed above in part 3. Since the amendments raise new issues and have not been entered, applicant's arguments have been considered and are moot.